Multichannel Optical Add-Drop Processes in Symmetrical Waveguide-Resonator Systems
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Multichannel optical add-drop processes are studied in a class of symmetric waveguide-resonator systems. With insight gained from group theory, we analyze these systems and show that they can add or drop multiple wavelengths simultaneously, with 100% efficiency. A new mechanism is presented to reduce the remnant light at the dropped wavelengths in the pass-through port. High-order Butterworth filters can also be achieved in these systems. Built upon conventional or photonic-crystal based structures, these systems can be used in optical communication applications.
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In today’s fiber-optic networks, light of multiple wavelengths propagates along a single optical fiber. Each wavelength of light transmits its own information undisturbed by the other wavelengths. A single-channel optical add-drop multiplexer (OADM) is a device that can add or remove a specific wavelength of light from a fiber. Recently, more and more applications demandOADMs that are able to add and remove multiple wavelengths.

Filters based on photonic crystals (PC) have been discussed for single-channel OADM applications. Fan et al. first proposed a structure of two parallel waveguides in a photonic crystal, with two resonators in between [1]. Light of multiple wavelengths comes into one waveguide from a fiber. With a proper design of the resonators, light of a specific wavelength will be completely transferred to the other waveguide, while light of the other wavelengths passes through the original waveguide and is coupled into another fiber. Quantum Green’s functions have been used to analyze the light transfer process in this structure. Additionally, simulations are performed to study PC-based single-channel OADMs [2] and demultiplexers [3]. A problem emerging in current simulations is that for many ports the light transfer efficiencies are fairly low. This also results in much light remaining in the pass-through port. Clearly, an analytic theory is needed to explore the characteristics and ultimate performance of PC-based multichannel OADMs and to give direction to the simulation efforts. New system architecture may be needed to overcome the limitations of the old systems.

In this Letter, we propose a class of new structures which can add or drop multiple wavelengths simultaneously. In such a structure that has n-fold symmetry, n pairs of resonators and n waveguides are arranged in a symmetrical manner. An n-fold structure can achieve 100% add and drop of light at n − 1 different wavelengths. These structures also provide a way of suppressing the remnant light intensity at the pass-through port for the bands of dropped frequencies. Such an improvement in optical isolation is ideal for many applications.

Consider a system having n waveguides on the edges of a regular n-polygon. Inside the polygon, near the middle of each edge, there is a pair of identical cavities each having a single resonant mode. Their modes can be combined to form one even and one odd mode with respect to the mirror plane between them. With the resonators placed symmetrically, the system possesses a symmetry of point group C_{nv}. Figure 1 illustrates the case n = 3. An n-fold system is described by a Hamiltonian [1]

\[ H = H_0 + V, \]
\[ H_0 = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k} \omega_k |mk\rangle \langle mk| + \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \sum_{c} \omega_{mc} |mc\rangle \langle mc|, \]
\[ V = \sum_{m,m',c} \sum_{c'} \left(1 - \delta_{m,m'} \delta_{c,c'}\right) V_{mc,m'c'} |mc\rangle \langle m'c'| + \sum_{m,m',k} \sum_{c} V_{mc,m'k} |mc\rangle \langle m'k| + V_{m'k,mc} |m'k\rangle \langle mc|. \]

(1)

where |mk\rangle is a propagating mode with wave vector k and frequency \( \omega_k \) in waveguide m. The mode |mc\rangle is a localized mode of the resonator pair next to waveguide m, c = e, o for the even and odd modes, respectively; \( \omega_{mc} \) is its frequency. The coefficients \( V_{mc,m'c'} \) and \( V_{mc,m'k} \) measure the coupling between the corresponding modes. We have neglected the coupling between the propagating modes of different waveguides as discussed by Xu et al. [4]. For \( n > 2 \), the symmetry operations of the group C_{nv} do not commute with each other; therefore, irreducible representations of dimensions higher than unity appear [5]. In simple words, a set of basis functions that are the eigenstates of all symmetry operations does not exist. Compared to the standard basis functions of irreducible representations, the eigenfunctions of \( C_{nv} \) operations are found to offer more convenience to analysis. One can readily show that, constructed from |mk\rangle, the modes
In terms of the symmetrized basis, 
\[ |\alpha k\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} e^{-i(2\pi/n)m} |m\rangle, \quad \alpha = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1 \]
are eigenfunctions of \( C_n \). One can construct \( |\alpha e\rangle \) and \( |\alpha o\rangle \) from \( |me\rangle \) and \( |mo\rangle \) similarly. The reflection \( M_j \) by the mirror plane bisecting waveguide \( j \) gives
\[ M_j |\alpha c\rangle = \pm e^{-i(2\pi/n)2\alpha} |\alpha c\rangle, \quad c = e, o, \]
where \( \alpha \equiv -\alpha \), and the plus and minus signs are for \( e \) and \( o \), respectively. A similar relation holds for \( |\alpha k\rangle \). Note \( |\alpha e\rangle \) and \( |\alpha o\rangle \) are no longer eigenfunctions of any \( M_j \), which brings difficulties to the analysis. From these, it follows that \( V_{\alpha k,\beta k'} = V_{\alpha e,\beta e'} = V_{\alpha o,\beta o} = 0 \), for \( \alpha \neq \beta \).

In terms of the symmetrized basis,
\[ \langle x = +\infty |\phi_{\alpha k}^{(+)}(\omega) |x| \rangle = \langle x|\alpha k\rangle + \frac{-iL}{v(\omega_k)} \langle x|\alpha k\rangle \sum_{\alpha' e'} V_{\alpha k,\alpha' e'} G_{\alpha' e',\alpha c}(\omega_k) V_{\alpha' e',\alpha c}, \quad \langle x = -\infty |\phi_{\alpha k}^{(-)}(\omega) |x| \rangle = \frac{-iL}{v(\omega_k)} \langle x|\alpha k\rangle \sum_{\alpha' e'} V_{\alpha k,\alpha' e'} G_{\alpha' e',\alpha c}(\omega_k) V_{\alpha' e',\alpha c}. \]

To further the calculation, we temporarily assume \( \Sigma_{\alpha c,\alpha' c} \) is diagonal. We revisit this issue shortly. We denote the diagonal terms as \( \Sigma_{\alpha c,\alpha c}(\omega) = \Delta \omega_{\alpha c}(\omega) - i\gamma_{\alpha c}(\omega) \).

In an OADM, we require no backscattering,
\[ V_{\alpha k,\alpha k} V_{\alpha c,\alpha c} G_{\alpha c,\alpha c}(\omega_k) + V_{\alpha k,\alpha c} V_{\alpha c,\alpha c} G_{\alpha c,\alpha c}(\omega_k) = 0. \]

Following Fan et al., accidental degeneracy conditions [7] are adopted, \( \omega_{\alpha c1} + \Delta \omega_{\alpha c1} = \omega_{\alpha c2} + \Delta \omega_{\alpha c2}, \gamma_{\alpha c1} = \gamma_{\alpha c2}, \) and \( \sigma_{\alpha c1} = \sigma_{\alpha c2} \). Because of these equalities between \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \), we hereafter use \( c \) to represent \( c_1 \) or \( c_2 \) unless confusion occurs. Now solving Eq. (9) gives
\[ H = H_0' + V', \]
\[ H_0' = \sum_{\alpha = 0}^{n-1} \sum_{k} \omega_{\alpha k} |\alpha k\rangle \langle \alpha k| + \sum_{\alpha = 0}^{n-1} \sum_{c = c_1, c_2} \omega_{\alpha c} |\alpha c\rangle \langle \alpha c|, \]
\[ V' = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{k, c} [V_{\alpha c,\alpha k} |\alpha k\rangle \langle \alpha c] + V_{\alpha k,\alpha c} |\alpha c\rangle \langle \alpha k|. \]

The decoupled \( |\alpha c_1\rangle, |\alpha c_2\rangle \) can be expressed as
\[ |\alpha c_1\rangle = u_{\alpha} |\alpha e\rangle + v_{\alpha} |\alpha o\rangle, \]
\[ |\alpha c_2\rangle = -v_{\alpha}^* |\alpha e\rangle + u_{\alpha}^* |\alpha o\rangle, \]
where \( u_{\alpha} \) and \( v_{\alpha} \) are complex coefficients satisfying \( |u_{\alpha}|^2 + |v_{\alpha}|^2 = 1 \). Their values can be easily solved given \( V_{\alpha c,\alpha o} \).

Solving the Lippmann-Schwinger equation [6], one finds the transfer matrix has elements \( T_{\alpha k',\alpha k} = T_{\alpha k',\alpha k} \delta_{\alpha k'} \delta_{\alpha k} \),
\[ T_{\alpha k',\alpha k} = \delta_{\alpha k'} \sum_{c, c'} V_{\alpha k',\alpha c'} G_{\alpha c',\alpha c}(\omega_k) V_{\alpha c',\alpha k}, \]
where Green's function is determined by
\[ (G^{-1})_{\alpha c',\alpha c}(\omega) = (\omega - \omega_{\alpha c} + i\sigma_{\alpha c}) \delta_{\alpha c'} - \Sigma_{\alpha c,\alpha c'}, \]
where \( \sigma_{\alpha c} \) represents the cavity loss [4,7]. Note that \( \sigma_{\alpha c} \) may depend on frequency, because the coupling coefficients \( V_{\alpha e,\alpha o} \) are usually frequency dependent due to the nature of “photonic potential” [8]. The self-energy is
\[ \Sigma_{\alpha c,\alpha c'}(\omega) = \sum_{k} V_{\alpha c,\alpha k} \frac{1}{\omega - \omega_k + i\epsilon} V_{\alpha c,\alpha k}. \]
The scattered wave is given by \( |\psi_{\alpha k}^{(+)}\rangle = \sum_{k} T_{\alpha k',\alpha k} |\alpha k'\rangle \).

The forward and backward scatterings for \( |\alpha k\rangle \) are
\[ \begin{array}{l}
\frac{V_{\alpha k,\alpha c_1}}{V_{\alpha c_1,\alpha k}} = \frac{V_{\alpha k,\alpha c_2}}{V_{\alpha c_2,\alpha k}} = e^{i\eta_{\alpha k}},
\end{array} \]
where \( \eta_{\alpha k} \) is an arbitrary phase angle. This condition also automatically ensures that the self-energy is diagonal.
One can verify that Eq. (10) simultaneously satisfies Σ_{ac} = 0 and Eq. (9), two independent equations.

The symmetrized forward scattering amplitude is calculated as

\[ A_{ak} = 1 + \frac{-2i\gamma_{ac}}{\omega_k - (\omega_{ac} + \Delta\omega_{ac}) + i(\gamma_{ac} + \sigma_{ac})} \]  
(11)

where \( \Delta\omega_{ac} \), \( \gamma_{ac} \), and \( \sigma_{ac} \) are evaluated at \( \omega_k \). Now consider the light of a single wavelength coupled into waveguide \#0, with unity amplitude. This light can be decomposed into symmetrized modes by Eq. (2); thus the forward scattering amplitude in waveguide \( m \) is

\[ a_m = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha} A_{ak} e^{-i(2\pi/n)an} \]  
(12)

The sum of the scattering amplitudes is found to be independent of the terms \( \alpha \neq 0 \),

\[ \sum_m a_m = A_{0k} \]  
(13)

The sum of the intensities is given by

\[ \sum_m |a_m|^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha} |A_{ak}|^2 \]  
(14)

If \( \sigma_{ac} = 0 \) for all \( \alpha \), then all \( |A_{ak}| = 1 \), total light intensity is conserved after scattering. Generally, in a passive device, all \( \sigma_{ac} \)'s and \( \gamma_{ac} \)'s are non-negative, hence \( |A_{ak}| \leq 1 \), an optical loss occurs.

The equation \( a_m = A_{0k}, \delta_{mm} \) characterizes that a complete drop from waveguide \#0 to waveguide \( m_1 \) occurs at frequency \( \omega_{k1} \). Such a drop occurs if and only if

\[ A_{ak} = A_{0k} e^{i(2\pi/n)an}, \quad \alpha = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1 \]  
(15)

Two interesting cases are considered. First, consider an ideal lossless case with \( \sigma_{ac} = 0 \) for all \( \alpha \). It turns out that the “optical isolation” is very poor in this case. Physically, it means that when a lossless drop occurs from waveguide \#0 to \( m_1 \) at \( \omega_{k1} \), it is impossible to keep the light intensities in other \( n - 2 \) waveguides infinitesimally small over a band of frequency centered at \( \omega_{k1} \). Without loss of generality, consider a drop to waveguide \#1. Over a frequency range centered at \( \omega_{k1} \), assume the light intensities are essentially zero in all waveguides except \#0 and \#1, which yields \( a_0 = A_{0k} - a_1 \) from Eq. (13). Inverting Eq. (12), one can calculate \( A_{ak} \) from the \( a_m \)'s. Then

\[ |A_{ak}|^2 = 1 + 2|a_1|(|a_1| - \cos\delta)(1 - \cos \frac{2\pi\alpha}{n}) - 2|a_1| \sin\frac{2\pi\alpha}{n} \sin\delta, \]  
(16)

where \( \delta = \arg A_{0k} - \arg a_1 \). One readily shows that, for \( n \geq 3 \), \( |A_{ak}| = 1 \) cannot hold for all \( \alpha \), when \( |a_1| \) varies continuously on the interval \([1 - \eta, 1]\) where \( \eta \) is an arbitrarily small, positive number. This contradicts our lossless assumption according to Eq. (14).

The second case we study is that \( \sigma_{0k} = 0 \) and all other \( \sigma_{ac} \)'s take non-negative values. This case is no longer lossless, but we see that loss is essentially introduced only in certain frequency ranges in favor of the device performance. To begin with, we show that \( \sigma_{0k} = 0 \) places a constraint on the phase angle relation between \( A_{0k} \) and \( a_1 \). In fact, one finds from Eq. (16) that

\[ |A_{ak}|^2 + |A_{-ak}|^2 - 2 = 8|a_1|\sin^2 \frac{\alpha \pi}{n}(|a_1| - \cos \delta). \]  
(17)

Since the left-hand side must not be positive, one obtains \( \cos \delta \geq |a_1| \). Subject to this constraint, a variable phase \( \delta(\omega) \) can be chosen. However, we can show it to be equivalent to the case where \( \delta' = 0 \) and \( a_1' = e^{i\delta}a_m \) for all \( m \) when solving for \( A_{ak} \)'s. Note that the overall phase does not change the filter intensity profile \( |a_m|^2 \). Also, if \( \delta \) is a constant, it must be zero since \( \max(|a_1|) = 1 \); hence we have \( A_{0k} = \frac{a_1}{|a_1|} \) by the definition of \( \delta \).

For the second case, one can solve for \( \omega_{ac} = \omega_{ac} + \Delta\omega_{ac}, \sigma_{ac} \) and \( \gamma_{ac} \) as functions of frequency, given the desired \( a_m(\omega) \) curves. Then one can design the resonators having these characteristics. Consider the system shown in Fig. 1. Assume

\[ a_1(\omega) = \frac{-i\gamma_{a} e^{i\phi_a}}{\omega - \omega_a + i\gamma_a}, \]  
(18a)

\[ a_2(\omega) = \frac{-i\gamma_{b} e^{i\phi_b}}{\omega - \omega_b + i\gamma_b}, \]  
(18b)

\[ a_0(\omega) = A_{0k} - a_1 - a_2, \]  
(18c)

where \( \omega_a, \omega_b, \gamma_a, \) and \( \gamma_b \) are constants. Hereafter, the frequency range where the magnitude of \( a_1 \) is appreciable is referred to as band \( a \). A similar reference applies to band \( b \). The appearance of variable phase angles \( \phi_a(\omega) \) and \( \phi_b(\omega) \) is necessary for the continuity of the solved quantities, at the frequencies between bands \( a \) and \( b \). We require these phases to remain constant unless the corresponding amplitudes are negligible. Therefore, they practically have no effect on the delay or other properties of the filter [9].

To solve for \( \omega_{ac}, \sigma_{ac}, \gamma_{ac} \), one substitutes Eqs. (11) and (18) into Eq. (12), noting that \( \omega \) in Eq. (18) is just \( \omega_k \) in Eq. (11). Because of the constraints discussed above (constant in-band \( \phi_a, \phi_b \), non-negative \( \gamma_{ac}, \sigma_{ac}, \) and continuity), the solution is not straightforward. Certain optimization algorithms can be used. As an example, we plot one set of solutions for a system with parameters \( \gamma_a = \gamma_b, \omega_b - \omega_a = 11\gamma_a \) in Fig. 2. However, infinite sets of \( \omega_{ac}, \sigma_{ac}, \gamma_{ac} \) can produce the desired filter. This gives plentiful freedom in design. Such freedom is very desirable when this theory is combined with finite difference time-domain (FDTD) simulations to design a planar light wave circuit. The larger the space of the solutions, the easier some of these solutions can be achieved with simple resonators, such as those formed by varying the diameters of the defect “atoms.” A detailed investigation
of the space of the solutions is beyond the scope of this Letter. To obtain the set of solutions in Fig. 2, we have applied the additional constraint that $\omega_n$’s are constant when the magnitudes of the filter transfer functions are appreciable, and we connect $\omega_n$’s of different bands using linear interpolation (other smooth interpolations are possible). In Fig. 2(d), the intensity spectrum $I_m = |a_m|^2$ for each port is reconstructed from the solutions presented in Figs. 2(a)–2(c). One sees 100% drops occur at $\omega_0$ and $\omega_0$. Assume that $\omega_0$ and $\omega_0$ differ by 0.8 nm (centered at 1.55 $\mu$m), then the 0.5 and 30 dB bandwidths are 0.05 and 0.93 nm, respectively. The sum of the three spectra shows prominent loss. And the loss penalizes only the pass-through port in the bands of dropped wave-lengths, as indicated by a reference lossless pass-through spectrum for the pass-through port.

FIG. 2. The solved $\omega_{ac}$, $\sigma_{ac}$, and $\gamma_{ac}$ are plotted in (a)–(c). Dash-dotted, solid, and dotted lines correspond to $\sigma = 0$, 1, and 2, respectively. Reconstructed spectra are shown in (d) on a linear scale, where $I_0$ (dotted line) is a reference lossless spectrum. Each intensity reaches maximum 1 and minimum 0, except the total intensity.

Also seen is the obvious flat-top line shape of the drop port compared to the first-order case. The 0.5 to 30 dB bandwidth ratio now increases to 0.22, indicating a much sharper transition between the passband and the stop band.

The crosstalks and losses at the crossings of the waveguides should not be a concern for conventional [11] or PC-based [12] waveguides after optimizing the crossings.

In summary, we have proposed and analyzed a class of waveguide-resonator structures that can be used as multi-channel OADMs. Light is shown to completely transfer between different waveguides. Some desirable features of the optical spectra of these structures are also presented.
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FIG. 3. Reconstructed spectra for the third-order Butterworth case, $\phi_n = 0$ for appreciable $|a_n|$.